

An extension of Furstenberg's structure theorem for Noetherian modules and multiple recurrence theorems I

Xiongping Dai

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

Abstract

We extend Furstenberg's structure theorem to any standard Borel G -space, where G is any locally compact second countable Noetherian module over a syndetic ring.

Keywords: Furstenberg theory · Structure theorem · Noetherian module.

2010 MSC: Primary 37A15, 37A45 Secondary 37B20, 37P99, 22F05

0. Introduction

We start this paper with recalling the celebrated Furstenberg structure theorem, which asserts the following statement:

Furstenberg's Structure Theorem (1963 [11]). *Let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a topological action of a locally compact group G on a compact metric space X , which is minimal distal. Then there exists an ordinal η such that to each ordinal $\xi \leq \eta$ there is associated a factor $\pi_\xi: (X, G) \rightarrow (X_\xi, G)$ so that the followings are satisfied:*

- (a) (X_0, G) is the one-point G -system and $(X_\eta, G) = (X, G)$.
- (b) If $0 \leq \xi < \theta \leq \eta$, then there is a factor map $\pi_{\theta, \xi}: (X_\theta, G) \rightarrow (X_\xi, G)$ with $\pi_\xi = \pi_{\theta, \xi} \circ \pi_\theta$.
- (c) For each $\xi < \eta$, $\pi_{\xi+1, \xi}: (X_{\xi+1}, G) \rightarrow (X_\xi, G)$ is an isometric extension.
- (d) If θ is a limit ordinal $\leq \eta$, then $\varprojlim_{\xi < \theta} (X_\xi, G)$.

The above statement itself and Furstenberg's original proof both are purely topological, not involving any probability theory [11]. There are other structure theorems to attempt to generalize or simply prove Furstenberg's in the literature (cf., e.g., [23, 10, 24, 25, 1, 2, 19, 20]). Particularly, we will be concerned with the following important and convenient version of Furstenberg structure theorem:

Furstenberg-Katznelson Structure Theorem (1978 [14]). *Let $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu)$ be a regular $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -space, where $1 \leq d < \infty$. Then there exists an ordinal η and a system of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -factors*

$$\{\pi_\xi: \mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu, \mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\xi = (X_\xi, \mathcal{B}_\xi, \mu_\xi, \mathbb{Z}^d)\}_{\xi \leq \eta}$$

such that:

- (1) $\mathbf{X}_0$ is the one-point $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -system and $\mathbf{X}_\eta = \mathbf{X} \ (\mu\text{-mod } 0)$.
- (2) If $\theta < \xi \leq \eta$, then there is a factor $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -map $\pi_{\xi, \theta}: \mathbf{X}_\xi \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\theta$ with $\pi_\theta = \pi_{\xi, \theta} \circ \pi_\xi$.
- (3) For each $\xi < \eta$, $\pi_{\xi+1, \xi}: \mathbf{X}_{\xi+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\xi$ is a primitive extension.
- (4) If ξ is a limit ordinal $\leq \eta$, then $\mathbf{X}_\xi = \varprojlim_{\theta < \xi} \mathbf{X}_\theta$.

Email address: xpdai@nju.edu.cn (Xiongping Dai)

We refer to this system of factors

$$X \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_{\xi} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0,$$

possibly transfinite, as a “composition factors series” of the regular $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -system X .

Since $\mathbb{R}$ is not a free abelian group, the above two theorems do not include the very important C^0 -flow. This paper will be devoted to developing Furstenberg Theory for measure-preserving dynamical systems of Noetherian-module actions far beyond the $\mathbb{Z}$ - or $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -spaces.

0.1. Noetherian modules and syndetic rings

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a (not necessarily commutative) ring with the zero element 0 for the commutative addition $+$, the identity element 1 for the (not necessarily commutative) multiplication $\cdot$.

Let $(G, \circ)$, with the identity I , be an R -module. By this we mean a group such that there exists a (left) scalar multiplication $(t, g) \mapsto tg$ from $R \times G$ to G satisfying the property that for any two elements S, T in G and any two scalars r, t in R ,

$$\bullet \quad t(S \circ T) = (tS) \circ (tT), \quad (r + t)S = rS \circ tS.$$

It is easy to check that any R -module must be an abelian group. $(R, +)$ itself, by letting $(G, \circ) = (R, +)$ with the identity $I = 0$, is of course an R -module. See [17, §III.1].

By a topological group we here mean a Hausdorff space with a continuous group structure. Further, an R -module G is referred to as a *locally compact second countable (lcsc) R -module* in this paper if

- $(R, +)$ and $(G, \circ)$ both are locally compact second countable groups and $(t, g) \mapsto tg$ is continuous from the product space $R \times G$ to the space G .

Moreover $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called an *lcsc ring* if $(R, +)$ itself is a locally compact second countable R -module.

We note here that any *lcsc* abelian group $(G, \circ)$ is an *lcsc* $\mathbb{Z}$ -module by defining the scalar multiplication of $\mathbb{Z} \times G$ to G : $(n, g) \mapsto g^n = g \circ \cdots \circ g$ (n -powers), where $\mathbb{Z}$ is endowed with the discrete topology.

Let G be an *lcsc* R -module. By a *standard Borel G -space*, we mean a standard Borel probability space $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ together with a Borel G -action from left on X by measure-preserving transformations of $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$; that is to say, every $g \in G$ is a measure-preserving transformation of $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ to itself and the G -action map $(g, x) \mapsto g(x)$ is Borel measurable of $G \times X$ to X . We will simply write $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, G)$ later on. We should bear in mind that different group elements may define the same μ -preserving transformation of X .

To precisely formulate our Furstenbergwise structure theorem we will prove in this paper, we first need to introduce the basic notions/conditions.

Definition 0.1. (1) An R -module G is said to be *Noetherian* if it satisfies the ascending chain condition (ACC): for every sequence $G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of R -submodules of G we have $G_n = G_{n+1}$ for n sufficiently large. The ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ itself is called Noetherian if $(R, +)$ is just a Noetherian R -module over $(R, +, \cdot)$. See, e.g., [17, §VI.1].
 (2) A subset S of an *lcsc* ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is said to be *syndetic* if one can find a compact subset K of R such that $K + S = R$; cf. e.g. [16, 13]. Further $(R, +, \cdot)$ itself is called *syndetic* if for each $r \in R$ with $r \neq 0$, rR is syndetic in R .

For example, for $1 \leq n < \infty$ the n -dimensional lattice space $\mathbb{Z}^n$ thought of as a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and the n -dimensional euclidian space $\mathbb{R}^n$ as an $\mathbb{R}$ -module both are Noetherian. In fact, every finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is Noetherian (cf. [17, Proposition VI.3]). And by Hilbert’s Basis Theorem it follows that the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in n variables over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is Noetherian and thus every finitely generated $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module is Noetherian ([17, Theorem VI.1]).

Clearly, the integers ring $\mathbb{Z}$, the p -adic integers ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the rationals field $\mathbb{Q}$, the reals field $\mathbb{R}$ and the p -adic numbers field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ all are syndetic and Noetherian under the natural topologies and so all of the finitely generated topological $\mathbb{Q}$ -modules, $\mathbb{R}$ -modules, $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules, and $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -modules are Noetherian, which are *lcsc* groups; see e.g. [17]. Note that $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \cdot)$ with $0 = (0, \dots, 0)$, $1 = (1, \dots, 1)$ is an *lcsc* ring under the algebraic operations

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n).$$

However, it is not a syndetic ring. Particularly, it should be noted that $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ as an $\mathbb{R}$ -module is Noetherian, but not as a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

0.2. Structure theorem

Motivated by [11, 24, 14, 13], in this paper we shall mainly prove the following Furstenberg-wise structure theorem including C^0 -flow, which generalizes the FK structure theorem stated before.

Theorem 0.2 (Structure Theorem I). *Let G be an lcsc Noetherian R -module over a syndetic ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. Then for any nontrivial standard Borel G -space X , there exists an ordinal η and a system of G -factors $\{\pi_\xi: X \rightarrow X_\xi\}_{\xi \leq \eta}$ such that:*

- (a) X_0 is the one-point G -system and $X_\eta = X \pmod{\mu}$.
- (b) If $0 \leq \theta < \xi \leq \eta$, then there is a factor G -map $\pi_{\xi, \theta}: X_\xi \rightarrow X_\theta$ with $\pi_\theta = \pi_{\xi, \theta} \circ \pi_\xi$.
- (c) For each ordinal ξ with $0 \leq \xi < \eta$, $\pi_{\xi+1, \xi}: X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_\xi$ is a nontrivial “primitive” extension (cf. Def. 4.1 in §4.1).
- (d) If ξ is a limit ordinal $\leq \eta$, then $X_\xi = \varprojlim_{\theta < \xi} X_\theta$.

Moreover, the intermediate factors in our factors chain are of the form

$$X_\xi = (X, \mathcal{X}_\xi, \mu, G), \quad \{x\} \in \mathcal{X}_\xi \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \pi_\xi = \text{Id}_X \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\xi+1, \xi} = \text{Id}_X \quad (0 < \xi < \eta).$$

We will call

$$X \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_\xi \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0,$$

a “Furstenberg factors chain” of X .

This structure theorem claims that if regarding some dynamics, such as “Sz-” and “Kh-properties” we will consider in our subsequent paper [6], one interprets primitive extensions sufficiently broadly, then one can, by this procedure, describe all standard Borel G -systems acted by a Noetherian R -module.

As a byproduct Theorem 4.7 in §4.3 is another Zimmer-type structure theorem we will prove in this paper. The remainder of this paper will be organized as follows.

Contents

1	Basic dynamics notions	4
1.1	Totally relatively ergodic extensions	4
1.2	Relative-product extensions	5
1.3	Relative convolutions of functions and precompactness	7
1.4	Relatively compact extensions	9
2	Characterizations of relatively compact extension for amenable groups	10
2.1	Compactness of an extension	11
2.2	Characterizing compact extensions	13
3	Alternating theorems for locally compact second countable modules	18
3.1	Totally relatively weak-mixing extensions	18
3.2	Joint case	18
3.3	Individual case	20
3.4	A remark on intermediate factors	20
4	Dichotomy theorem and Furstenberg structure theorem	21
4.1	Primitive factors	21
4.2	Dichotomy theorem	21
4.3	Structure theorems	22

Acknowledgments

Finally, the author is deeply grateful to Professor Hillel Furstenberg for many helpful suggestions, comments, and carefully checking the details of the original manuscript.

1. Basic dynamics notions

In the sequel of this section, unless an explicit declaration, we let G be an *lcsc* R -module over any ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ not necessarily syndetic,

- $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, G)$ a standard Borel G -space so we may decompose μ ;

and let $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ be another Borel G -space, not necessarily a standard Borel probability space, and simply write

- $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu, G)$.

We shall call $\mathbf{X}$ an *extension* of $\mathbf{Y}$ or $\mathbf{Y}$ a *factor* of $\mathbf{X}$ via a G -homomorphism π , usually written as $\pi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$, if there is a measure-preserving map $\pi: (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ satisfying $\pi(g(x)) = g(\pi(x))$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$; i.e., the following commutative diagram holds:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) & \xrightarrow{g} & (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu) & \xrightarrow{g} & (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu) \end{array} \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Here π is called a *factor G -map* from $\mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{Y}$. Note here that $x \mapsto g(x)$ and $y \mapsto g(y)$ refer to the G -actions of the same G on two different state spaces X and Y .

An extension $\pi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is said to be *nontrivial* if $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}] \neq \mathcal{X} \pmod{\mu}$. In fact, $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu, G; \pi) = (X, \mathcal{Y}, \mu, G; Id_X)$ in our factors chain in Theorem 0.2.

For any $T \in G$, $\langle T \rangle_R$ will stand for the R -submodule of G generated by the element T over the same ring $(R, +, \cdot)$; that is to say,

$$\langle T \rangle_R = \{tT; t \in R\}$$

that is the smallest R -submodule containing T in G . Note that $\langle I \rangle_R = \{I\}$ and $0T = I$ for any $T \in G$, where we remind that I is the identity of G .

1.1. Totally relatively ergodic extensions

Let $\pi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an extension. From now on, let $\mathcal{X}'$ be a σ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$, where $(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is not necessarily a standard Borel probability space, such that

- $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}] \subseteq \mathcal{X}'$, $\mathcal{X}'$ is G -invariant (i.e. $g^{-1}[\mathcal{X}'] \subseteq \mathcal{X}' \forall g \in G$),

and write

- $\mathbf{X}' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G)$.

Then $\mathbf{X}'$ is a factor of $\mathbf{X}$ via the G -map $Id_X: X \rightarrow X$; $x \mapsto x$ and it is also an extension of $\mathbf{Y}$ via the same $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$. We will call $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{Id_X} \mathbf{X}' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Y}$ a short factors series.

Definition 1.1. For $\mathbf{X}' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G)$, we say the extension $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is to be

- *relatively ergodic for an element g in G* if every $\langle g \rangle_R$ -invariant $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is $(\mu$ -a.e.) a function on $\mathbf{Y}$ (or equivalently, every $\langle g \rangle_R$ -invariant $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is also $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}]$ -measurable);
- further, to be *totally relatively ergodic for an R -submodule Γ of G* if it is relatively ergodic for each element g in Γ with $g \neq I$.

- *jointly relatively ergodic for an R -submodule Γ of G* if every Γ -invariant $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is (μ -a.e.) a function on Y .

Notice here that $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ fails to be totally relatively ergodic for G itself if some set in $\mathcal{X}'$ that is not the preimage of a set in $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\langle T \rangle_R$ -invariant for some $T \in G$ with $T \neq I$. Ordinarily when one speaks of ergodicity of a Borel G -space $(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$, one is concerned about G -invariance, not only $\langle T \rangle_R$ -, of sets in $\mathcal{X}'$.

Particularly, only from the viewpoint of group in [14, 13], $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ fails to be (totally) relatively ergodic for G if some set in $\mathcal{X}'$ that is not the preimage of a set in $\mathcal{Y}$ is T or equivalently $\langle T \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$, but not $\langle T \rangle_R$ -, invariant for some $T \in G$ with $T \neq I$.

If Y is a one-point G -system, then the relative ergodicity of X' for g is just the classical ergodicity of the metric dynamical system $(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, \langle g \rangle_R)$ for the R -submodule $\langle g \rangle_R$.

1.2. Relative-product extensions

For any extension $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ (not for $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$), by Doob's theorem, there exists a random measure on the standard Borel probability space $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$:

$$\mu(\cdot, \cdot): Y \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{or write} \quad \{\mu_y: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{y \in Y}$$

such that for any $y \in Y, B \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$,

- (1) $\mu_y(\cdot): \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; A \mapsto \mu(y, A)$ is a probability measure on $(X, \mathcal{X})$;
- (2) $\mu(\cdot, B): Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; y \mapsto \mu(y, B)$ is a $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable function;
- (3) $\mu_{\pi(x)}(B) = E_\mu(1_B | \pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}])(x)$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$;
- (4) $E_\mu(\varphi | \pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}])(x) = \int_X \varphi(z) \mu(\pi(x), dz)$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$.¹

Here $\{\mu_y\}_{y \in Y}$ or write $\mu = \int_Y \mu_y \nu(dy)$ is called the *disintegration* of μ , over $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$, which is unique for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$. If $\mathcal{Y}$ is countably generated, then μ_y is supported on the π -preimage of the atom $[y]_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\mu_y = \mu_z$ for any $z \in [y]_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Following [12, 14] and [13, §5.5], the *condition-independent measure* $\mu \otimes_Y \mu$ on $(X \times X, \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X})$ over (Y, π) is defined by the disintegration

$$\mu \otimes_Y \mu = \int_Y \mu_y \otimes \mu_y \nu(dy).$$

Then by [13, Proposition 5.10],

$$\int_{X \times X} f_1 \otimes f_2 d\mu \otimes_Y \mu = \int_Y E_\mu(f_1 | Y) E_\mu(f_2 | Y) d\nu \quad \forall f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu).$$

Clearly $\mu \otimes_Y \mu$ is G -invariant where G acts diagonally on $X \times X$ by the following standard way:

$$g(x, x') = (g(x), g(x')) \quad \forall (x, x') \in X \times X \text{ and } g \in G;$$

hence $(X \times X, \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}, \mu \otimes_Y \mu, G)$ is a measure-preserving G -system, which is called the *relative-product extension* of (Y, π) , write $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, G) \times_Y (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, G)$ or simply $X \times_Y X$. Then $\{\mu_y \otimes \mu_y\}_{y \in Y}$ is exactly the classical disintegration of $\mu \otimes_Y \mu$, over the factor Y via the G -map²

$$\pi \times_Y \pi: (x, x') \mapsto \pi_1(x) = x \quad \text{or} \quad \pi \times_Y \pi: (x, x') \mapsto \pi_2(x') = x'.$$

Then

$$E_{\mu \otimes_Y \mu}(f_1 \otimes f_2 | Y) = E_\mu(f_1 | Y) E_\mu(f_2 | Y) \quad \forall f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu).$$

¹In fact, (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4). In addition, we set $E_\mu(\varphi | Y)(\cdot) = \int_X \varphi(x) \mu(\cdot, dx) \in \mathcal{L}^1(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ as in [13, Chap. 5]. In addition, if $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is not a standard Borel probability space, then such $\mu(\cdot, \cdot)$ does not need to exist (cf., e.g., [8]). This is just the reason why we will always regard X' as a factor of X , not individually an extension of Y .

²It should be noted that $\pi_1^{-1}[A] = \pi_2^{-1}[A] \pmod{\mu \otimes_Y \mu}$ for any $A \in \mathcal{Y}$; cf. [13, Proposition 5.11].

See [13, Propositions 5.12 and 5.14].

Let $\{U_g: \phi \mapsto \phi \circ g\}_{g \in G}$ be the naturally induced Koopman unitary operators on the following $\mathfrak{L}^2$ -spaces of complex-valued functions:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu), \quad \mathcal{H} \otimes_Y \mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}, \mu \otimes_Y \mu),$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_y = \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu_y), \quad \mathcal{H}_y \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}_y = \mathfrak{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}, \mu_y \otimes \mu_y), \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ or $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}$, we denote its $\mathfrak{L}^2$ -norm by $\|\varphi\|_2$ (or by $\|\varphi\|_{2,\mu}$, $\|\varphi\|_{2,\mu \otimes_Y \mu}$); if $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_y$ or $\mathcal{H}_y \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}_y$, we denote its $\mathfrak{L}^2$ -norm by $\|\varphi\|_{2,y}$ or $\|\varphi\|_{2,\mu_y \otimes \mu_y}$. We say that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ on X or $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}$ on $X \times X$ is *fiberwise $\mathfrak{L}^2$ -bounded* if $\|\varphi\|_{2,y}$ or $\|\varphi\|_{2,\mu_y \otimes \mu_y}$ is bounded as a function of y on Y .

We note that if $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$ then $\phi \otimes \psi \in \mathfrak{L}^1(X \times X, \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}, \mu \otimes_Y \mu)$, since $\|\phi\|_{2,y}$ and $\|\psi\|_{2,y}$ as functions of the variable y on Y are in $\mathfrak{L}^2(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times X} |\phi \otimes \psi(x, x')| \mu \otimes_Y \mu(dx, x') &= \int_Y \int_{X \times X} |\phi \otimes \psi(x, x')| \mu_y \otimes \mu_y(dx, x') \nu(dy) \\ &= \int_Y \left(\int_X |\phi(x)| \mu_y(dx) \int_X |\psi(x')| \mu_y(dx') \right) \nu(dy) \\ &\leq \int_Y \|\phi\|_{2,y} \|\psi\|_{2,y} \nu(dy) \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{2,\mu} \|\psi\|_{2,\mu} \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\|\phi\|_{2,\mu} = \left(\int_Y \|\phi\|_{2,y}^2 \nu(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi\|_{2,y} = \left(E_\mu(|\phi|^2 | \mathbf{Y})(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_X |\phi(x)|^2 \mu_y(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$.

Since $(X, \mathcal{X})$ is a standard Borel G -space and G is *lcsc*, by Varadarajan's isomorphism theorem [22, Theorem 3.2] or [26, Theorem 2.1.19] that is independent of the module structure of G , it follows that $(X, \mathcal{X})$ is G -isomorphic to some G -invariant Borel subset of certain compact metric G -space. Thus combined with the G -invariance of μ this yields the well-known fact that the function $g \mapsto U_g \phi$ of G into $\mathcal{H}$ is continuous under the $\mathfrak{L}^2$ -norm of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to μ , for any given ϕ in $\mathcal{H}$.

Remark. Given any short factors series $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{Id_X} \mathbf{X}' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Y}$, we will write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}' &= \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \\ \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}' &= \mathfrak{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X}' \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mu \otimes_Y \mu), \end{aligned}$$

and for all $y \in Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}'_y &= \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu_y), \\ \mathcal{H}'_y \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'_y &= \mathfrak{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X}' \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mu_y \otimes \mu_y). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathcal{H}'$, $\mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$, $\mathcal{H}'_y$, and $\mathcal{H}'_y \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'_y$ are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_y$, and $\mathcal{H}_y \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}_y$, respectively. It should be noted, however, that $\{\mu_y\}_{y \in Y}$ and then $\mu \otimes_Y \mu$ are induced by $\pi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$, never by $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$, for the intermediate factor $\mathbf{X}'$ we consider later is not necessarily a standard Borel G -space.

1.3. Relative convolutions of functions and precompactness

In the sequel of this subsection, let there be any given a short factors series:

$$X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y.$$

Given any two functions $H \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}'$, as in [14, 13] for the special case $(R, +, \cdot) = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$, we now define the *convolution*, written as $H *_Y \phi$, of H with ϕ relative to the factor $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H *_Y \phi(x) = \int_X H(x, x') \phi(x') \mu_{\pi(x)}(dx'). \quad (1.1)$$

Since for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$ here $H(x, x')$ is a function in $\mathcal{H}'_y \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'_y$, so that for μ_y -a.e. $x \in X$ the integrand $H(x, \cdot)\phi(\cdot)$ in (1.1) is the product of two functions of the variable $x' \in X$ in $\mathcal{H}'_y$, and hence $H(x, \cdot)\phi(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu_{\pi(x)})$ by Fubini's theorem and so the integral exists. Thus (1.1) is well defined for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, for $\mu = \int_Y \mu_y \nu(dy)$. Moreover,

$$\|H *_Y \phi\|_{2,Y} \leq \|H\|_{2,\mu_y \otimes \mu_y} \|\phi\|_{2,Y} \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } y \in Y. \quad (1.2)$$

From this we can conclude that if H is fiberwise $\mathcal{L}^2$ -bounded (i.e. $\|H\|_{2,\mu_y \otimes \mu_y} \leq M < \infty$ for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$), then

$$\|H *_Y \phi\|_2 \leq M \|\phi\|_2$$

so that $H *_Y \phi \in \mathcal{H}'$ and further

$$H *_Y \cdot: \phi \mapsto H *_Y \phi$$

is a bounded linear operator of $\mathcal{H}'$ into itself. On the other hand, if $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$, then for any $H \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$

$$\begin{aligned} \|H *_Y \phi\|_2 &\leq \|\phi\|_\infty \left(\int_X \int_X |H(x, x')|^2 \mu_{\pi(x)}(dx') \mu(dx) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|\phi\|_\infty \left(\int_Y \int_X \int_X |H(x, x')|^2 \mu_{\pi(x)}(dx') \mu_y(dx) \nu(dy) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|\phi\|_\infty \left(\int_Y \left(\int_{X \times X} |H|^2 d\mu_y \otimes \mu_y \right) \nu(dy) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|\phi\|_\infty \|H\|_{2,\mu \otimes_Y \mu} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

so that

$$\square *_Y \phi: H \mapsto H *_Y \phi$$

is a bounded operator of $\mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ into $\mathcal{H}'$.

As usual, a subset A in a topological space M is called *precompact* if its closure $\bar{A}$ relative to M is compact. Recall that a subset A in a complete metric space M is precompact iff for any $\delta > 0$ there are points $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ such that A is covered by the k balls of centered at a_i of radius δ and iff for any $\delta > 0$ there are points $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M$ such that A is covered by the k balls of centered at a_i of radius δ . If A is precompact in a Hausdorff space, then any subset of A is also precompact.

The following is a criterion of precompactness of subset of $(\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,Y})$, which is of interest itself.

Theorem 1.2. *Given any short factors series $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$, consider the relative convolution linear operator on $(\mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}') \times \mathcal{H}'$ to $\mathcal{H}'$:*

$$\square *_Y \cdot: \mathcal{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X}' \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mu \otimes_Y \mu) \times \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$$

given by $(H, \phi) \mapsto H *_Y \phi$ as in (1.1); and for any $r > 0$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$, write

$$\mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty} = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu): \|\phi\|_\infty \leq r \} \quad \text{and} \quad H *_Y \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty} = \{ H *_Y \phi \mid \phi \in \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty} \}.$$

Then for any $H \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ and $r > 0$, the set $H *_Y \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$ is precompact in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,Y})$ for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$.

Proof. For our simplicity, assume all the $\mathcal{L}^2$ -spaces involved in the theorem consist of real-valued functions without loss of generality. Let $r > 0$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ be any given. We will divide our proof of Theorem 1.2 into two steps.

Step 1. If H is of the form $H(x, x') = \sum_{j=1}^J \psi_j(x) \psi'_j(x')$ with $\psi_j(x), \psi'_j(x') \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ and $1 \leq J < \infty$, then $H *_Y \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$ is precompact in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,Y})$ for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$.

Proof. To prove the above claim, we first note that by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem, the closed ball of radius r

$$E_y = \{\phi(x') \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu_y) : \|\phi\|_{2,y} \leq r\}$$

is weakly compact in $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu_y)$ for each $y \in Y$. Moreover, since $(X, \mathcal{X})$ is a standard Borel space, hence $\mathcal{H}_y$ and further $\mathcal{H}'_y$ are separable. This implies that E_y is metrizable with the weak topology. Then by the Tychonoff Theorem it follows that $\prod_{y \in Y} E_y$ is a compact convex subset of the locally-convex Hausdorff product-topological vector space

$$\prod_{y \in Y} \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu_y)$$

where each of the factor spaces is under the natural weak topology.³

Let $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an arbitrary sequence of functions in $\mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$. By dropping a zero-measure set if necessary, we may assume $|\phi_n(x')| \leq r$ for all $n \geq 1$ and any $x' \in X$. Since $\phi_n \in E_y$ for each $y \in Y$, hence $\langle \phi_n, y \rangle_{y \in Y} \in \prod_{y \in Y} E_y$ where $\phi_n, y(x') = \phi_n(x')$ for all n . Therefore by [4, Lemma 2], from the vector sequence $\{\langle \phi_n, y \rangle_{y \in Y}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ may be extracted a subsequence $\{\langle \phi_{n_\ell}, y \rangle_{y \in Y}\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ such that as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\phi_{n_\ell, y} \xrightarrow{\text{weakly in } \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu_y)} \phi_y \quad \forall y \in Y$$

for some point $\langle \phi_y \rangle_{y \in Y} \in \prod_{y \in Y} E_y$. Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$, $y \mapsto \langle \psi, \phi_y \rangle_y$ is $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable from Y to $\mathbb{R}$ and so $x \mapsto \langle \psi, \phi_{\pi(x)} \rangle_{\pi(x)}$ is $\mathcal{X}'$ -measurable from X .

Therefore for any function $H(x, x') = \sum_{j=1}^J \psi_j(x) \psi'_j(x')$ with $\psi_j(x), \psi'_j(x') \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ and $1 \leq J < \infty$, it holds that

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \psi_j(x) \langle \psi'_j, \phi_{n_\ell} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'_{\pi(x)}} = \sum_{j=1}^J \psi_j(x) \langle \psi'_j, \phi_{n_\ell, \pi(x)} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'_{\pi(x)}} \xrightarrow{\mu_y\text{-a.e. } x \text{ in } X} \sum_{j=1}^J \psi_j(x) \langle \psi'_j, \phi_{\pi(x)} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'_{\pi(x)}}$$

as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that for μ_y -a.e. $x \in X$,

$$H *_Y \phi_{n_\ell}(x) \rightarrow H *_Y \phi_y(x) \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.$$

So by $|H *_Y \phi_{n_\ell}(x)| \leq r \|H\|_\infty < \infty$ and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we see that $H *_Y \phi_{n_\ell} \rightarrow H *_Y \phi_y$ in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,Y})$.

This proves that $H *_Y \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$ is precompact in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,Y})$. $\square$

Step 2. Let H be arbitrarily given in $\mathcal{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X}' \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mu \otimes_Y \mu)$; then $H *_Y \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$ is precompact in $(\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,Y})$ for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$.

Proof. Indeed since $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X}' \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mu \otimes_Y \mu)$, hence there exists $H_n \rightarrow H$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X}' \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mu \otimes_Y \mu)$ where each $H_n(x, x')$ has the special form of finite linear combination $\sum_j \psi_j \otimes \psi'_j$ with $\psi_j, \psi'_j \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$. Since by (1.3) we have

$$\|H_n *_Y \phi - H *_Y \phi\|_2 \leq r \|H_n - H\|_{2, \mu \otimes_Y \mu} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty},$$

we see that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, under the $\mathcal{L}^2$ -norm $\|\cdot\|_2$

$$H_n *_Y \phi \rightarrow H *_Y \phi$$

³Any separable Hilbert space is locally convex under the weak topology.

in $\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ uniformly for $\phi \in \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. For $H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$ is precompact in $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,y})$ by Step 1, there exists a finite set of functions, say $\phi_1^{(n)}, \dots, \phi_{k_n}^{(n)}$, in $\mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$ so that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k_n} \|H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi - H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi_i^{(n)}\|_{2,y} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}.$$

Then by

$$\begin{aligned} \|H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi - H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi_i^{(n)}\|_{2,y} &\leq \|H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi - H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi\|_{2,y} + \|H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi - H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi_i^{(n)}\|_{2,y} + \|H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi_i^{(n)} - H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi_i^{(n)}\|_{2,y} \\ &\leq 2r\|H - H_n\|_{2,\mu \otimes_{\mathbf{Y}} \mu} + \|H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi - H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi_i^{(n)}\|_{2,y} \end{aligned}$$

we see that as n sufficiently large,

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k_n} \|H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi - H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi_i^{(n)}\|_{2,y} < \varepsilon \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}.$$

This implies that $H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{B}_r^{2,\infty}$ is precompact in $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,y})$, since ε is arbitrary. □

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is therefore completed. □

Notice here that $\phi \mapsto H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi$ is not a compact operator from $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ to $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_2)$.

1.4. Relatively compact extensions

The following concept is due to H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson 1978 [14].

Definition 1.3 ([14, 13, 9]). Given any short factors series $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ and any subgroup Γ of G , for $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ a function φ in $\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is called

- *FK almost periodic for Γ (FK a.p. for Γ for short)* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set of functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$ in $\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ such that for every $g \in \Gamma$,

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2,y} < \varepsilon \quad (\nu\text{-a.e. } y \in Y).$$

We write $L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ for the set of all the functions FK a.p. for Γ .

Then $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is said to be

- *relatively compact for Γ* if $L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ is dense in $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_{2,\mu})$.

Note here that FK a.p. for G is simply called AP in [9, Def. 7.18]. Moreover, it is not required that $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$ belong to the Γ -orbit of φ . Here FK is the abbreviation of Furstenberg-Katznelson.

According to this definition, we easily see that:

- The relative compactness of $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ appears to depend heavily upon the G -factor (Y, π) and also the standard Borel structure of $(X, \mathcal{X})$; this is because the disintegration $\{\mu_y\}_{y \in Y}$ of μ is closely related with the given condition (Y, π) and the standard Borel structure of $(X, \mathcal{X})$.
- To get around some hard points appeared in the literature like [14, 13, 9], here we only require loosely that $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ instead of $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$. This point is different with the literature.

Clearly, if $\varphi \in \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is FK a.p. for Γ , then $\Gamma[\varphi]$ is precompact in $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu_y), \|\cdot\|_{2,y})$ for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$. However, Theorem 1.2 together with Theorem 2.4 below shows that the converse is never true.

Then the following result is an important technique lemma for our arguments later.

Lemma 1.4. Let $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be any short factors series. Given any R -submodule G' of the $lcsc$ R -module G , let Γ be a countable dense subgroup of G' , $\delta \geq 0, \epsilon > 0$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}', \phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}$ with the property that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_\gamma \varphi - \phi_i\|_{2,Y} < \epsilon \quad \text{but for a set of } y \in Y \text{ of } \nu\text{-measure} \leq \delta.$$

Then for any $g \in G'$,

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_i\|_{2,Y} < 4\epsilon \quad \text{but for a set of } y \in Y \text{ of } \nu\text{-measure} \leq 4\delta.$$

Hence,

$$L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; G') = L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $(X, \mathcal{X})$ is a Polish G -space by Varadarajan's theorem. Let $g \in G'$ be any given and take any sequence in Γ , $g_n \rightarrow g$. Now select a sequence of bounded $\mathcal{X}'$ -functions $\psi_\ell \in \mathcal{H}'$ with $\psi_\ell \rightarrow \varphi$ in $\mathcal{H}'$. Since

$$\|\varphi - \psi_\ell\|_{2,\mu} = \left(\int_Y \|\varphi - \psi_\ell\|_{2,Y}^2 \nu(dy) \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume

$$\|\varphi - \psi_\ell\|_{2,Y} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty \quad (\nu\text{-a.e. } y \in Y).$$

Inasmuch as Γ is countable, so we can choose some $Y_\infty \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $\nu(Y_\infty) = 1$ such that

$$\gamma(Y_\infty) = Y_\infty \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \cup \{g\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi - \psi_\ell\|_{2,Y} = 0 \quad \forall y \in Y_\infty.$$

From the fact that for ν -a.e. $y \in Y_\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_i\|_{2,Y} &\leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} (\|U_g \varphi - U_{g_n} \varphi\|_{2,Y} + \|U_{g_n} \varphi - \phi_i\|_{2,Y}) \\ &\leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_{g_n} \varphi - \phi_i\|_{2,Y} + \|U_g \varphi - U_{g_n} \varphi\|_{2,Y} + \|U_{g_n} \varphi - U_{g_n} \psi_\ell\|_{2,Y} + \|U_{g_n} \psi_\ell - U_{g_n} \varphi\|_{2,Y} \\ &\leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_{g_n} \varphi - \phi_i\|_{2,Y} + \|\varphi - \psi_\ell\|_{2,g(y)} + \|U_g \varphi - U_{g_n} \varphi\|_{2,Y} + \|\psi_\ell - \varphi\|_{2,g_n(y)} \end{aligned}$$

together with using Egoroff's theorem for the sequence of functions $\{\|\psi_\ell - \varphi\|_{2,Y}\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ of the variable y on $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$, it follows that for any $\delta' > 3\delta$ we can find a set $U \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $U \subset Y_\infty, \nu(U) > 1 - \delta'$ such that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_i\|_{2,Y} < 4\epsilon \quad \forall y \in U.$$

From this we can conclude the lemma for $\delta' > 3\delta$ is arbitrary. $\square$

It should be noted here that the subgroup Γ in Lemma 1.4 is not necessarily to be an R -submodule of G . For example, for $G = \mathbb{R}^r$, the rational subgroup $\mathbb{Q}^r$ is countable dense in $\mathbb{R}^r$ but it is not an $\mathbb{R}$ -submodule; $\mathbb{Z}$ is dense in the p -adic integers ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

2. Characterizations of relatively compact extension for amenable groups

In this section, we will generalize Furstenberg and Katznelson's characterization theorem of relatively compact extensions to any amenable groups following the framework of [24, 14, 13] combining with Varadarajan's isomorphism theorem and the $\mathcal{L}^2$ -mean ergodic theorem.

Throughout this section, let $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be any short factors series under the Borel actions by a same $lcsc$ R -module G over a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ not necessarily syndetic, where

- $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is a standard Borel probability space so we can disintegrate μ over Y , $\mu = \int_Y \mu_y d\nu(y)$.

As in Remark of §1.2, write

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \quad \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{L}^2(X \times X, \mathcal{X}' \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mu \otimes_Y \mu),$$

where $\mu \otimes_Y \mu$ is defined based on $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ not on $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$.

We shall work on the intermediate factor X' but not on X , as in §1.

2.1. Compactness of an extension

Following the ideas of [14, Def. 2.1] and [13, Sect. 6.3], we now equivalently describe the *relative compactness* of $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ respecting any given R -submodule Γ of G .

- $\mathbf{C}_1$: The set of functions $H *_Y \phi$ spans a dense subset of $\mathcal{H}'$ as $H(x, x')$ ranges over the bounded Γ -invariant functions in $\mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ and $\phi(x')$ ranges over the bounded functions in $\mathcal{H}'$.
- $\mathbf{C}_2$: $\overline{L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)} = \mathcal{H}'$, where a function of FK a.p. for Γ is defined as in Def. 1.3 in §1.4 following the idea of Furstenberg and Katznelson [14].
- $\mathbf{C}_3$: Each $\phi \in \mathcal{H}'$ is such that for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a $\mathcal{Y}$ -set $B \subseteq Y$ with $\nu(B) > 1 - \delta$ such that the modification

$$\phi_B(x) = 1_{\pi^{-1}[B]}(x)\phi(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

is FK a.p. for Γ ; that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set of functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}$ verifying that for each $g \in \Gamma$,

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_g \phi_B - \phi_i\|_{2,Y} < \varepsilon \quad (\nu\text{-a.e. } y \in Y).$$

It is different with $\mathbf{C}_3$ of [14, 13] there the choice of $B \in \mathcal{Y}$ depends not only on δ but also on ε . This $\mathbf{C}_3$ -condition implies [9, Lemma 7.24] for $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

- $\mathbf{C}_4$: Any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}'$ is FK ν -almost almost-periodic for Γ (FK a.a.p. for Γ for short) in the sense that for any $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set of functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that for each $g \in \Gamma$,

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_g \phi - \phi_i\|_{2,Y} < \varepsilon \quad \text{but for a set of } y \in Y \text{ of } \nu\text{-measure less than } \delta.$$

We will write $L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ for the set of all FK a.a.p. for Γ $\mathcal{L}^2$ -functions. Here ‘FK’ is for Furstenberg-Katznelson.

- $\mathbf{C}_5$: Let $\{F_n\}_1^\infty$ be a weak Følner sequence in Γ with a left Haar measure m_Γ or write dg .⁴ For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}'$, we define

$$P(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}) = w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_\Gamma(F_n)} \int_{F_n} U_g(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}) dg,$$

here the w -limit means the convergence in the weak topology of $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}$. Then

⁴Let G be an amenable lcsc group with a fixed left Haar measure m_G . Then there always exists a so-called *weak Følner sequence* $\{F_n\}_1^\infty$ in G , i.e., a sequence of nonnull compact subsets F_n of positive Haar measure of G such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_G(gF_n \triangle F_n)}{m_G(F_n)} = 0 \quad \forall g \in G.$$

It is a well-known fact that every lcsc abelian group is amenable; see, e.g., [21, 9]. Thus an lcsc R -module is amenable by our convention before.

– $P(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi = 0$.

C₆: Let $\{F_n\}_1^\infty$ be a weak Følner sequence in Γ with a left Haar measure m_Γ or dg . For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}'$, set

$$P(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}) = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_\Gamma(F_n)} \int_{F_n} U_g(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}) dg,$$

where the s-limit exists in the $\mathfrak{L}^2$ -norm of $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}$. Then

– $P(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi = 0$.

It should be noted that:

- In **C₃** and **C₄**, we did not require that $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}'$ instead of $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}$.
- By the $\mathfrak{L}^2$ -mean ergodic theorem [5], $P(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi})$ is well defined in **C₅** and **C₆**.

The following lemma is useful for us to understand the FK ν -almost almost-periodicity property of the extension $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Γ be any R -submodule of G . If $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}'$ is precompact for Γ in $\mathcal{H}'$, i.e., the Γ -orbit $\Gamma[\varphi]$ is precompact in $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_2)$, then $\varphi \in L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be any given. Since $\Gamma[\varphi]$ is precompact in $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_2)$, there is a finite set of functions $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell\} \subset \Gamma[\varphi]$ such that

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2, \mu} < \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \sqrt{\delta} \quad \forall g \in \Gamma.$$

For any $g \in \Gamma$, we set

$$B = \left\{ y \in Y \mid \min_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2, y} < \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^2 \delta > \min_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \int_Y \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2, y}^2 \nu(dy) \geq \int_Y \min_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2, y}^2 \nu(dy) \geq \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^2 \nu(Y - B)$$

and so

$$\nu(B) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

This implies that $\varphi \in L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$.

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is thus completed. □

For our convenience, we now introduce another standard notation, which is completely independent of the factor Y and the factor G -map π from X' to Y .

Definition 2.2 (cf. [24, 13]). For the intermediate factor $X' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G)$, any function $\phi \in \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is called

- (von Neumannian) *almost periodic for an R -submodule Γ of G (a.p. for Γ for short)* if the partial orbit $\Gamma[\phi]$ is precompact in the space $(\mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_2)$.

Write $L_{ap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ for the set of all the $\mathfrak{L}^2$ -functions of a.p. for Γ on X .

Then by Lemma 2.1, every a.p. for Γ function is an FK a.a.p. for Γ function. Clearly only from definitions, a.p. $\neq$ FK a.a.p. for a same submodule Γ . We will construct an example later; see Corollary 4.6 in §4.3.

The following lemma is obvious but it is very useful.

Lemma 2.3. Let G be an lcsc R -module, $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ a short factors series and $\Gamma \subseteq G$ an R -submodule. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) $L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ forms an algebra and it is a closed subspace of $(\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu), \|\cdot\|_2)$.
- (2) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}'$ is FK a.a.p. for G , then $U_g \varphi$ is also FK a.a.p. for G for each $g \in G$.
- (3) If $H(x, x') \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ is Γ -invariant and $\phi(x') \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$, then

$$U_g(H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi) = H *_{\mathbf{Y}} (U_g \phi) \quad (2.1)$$

for every $g \in \Gamma$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from $\mathbf{C}_4$; (3) follows at once from (1.1). $\square$

2.2. Characterizing compact extensions

When G is a finitely generated free abelian group, i.e., $G \approx \mathbb{Z}^d$ for some integer $d \geq 1$, then it is known that G is amenable and $\mathbf{C}_1 \sim \mathbf{C}_5$ are equivalent for $\Gamma = G$; cf. [14, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.5] and also [13, Theorem 6.13]. We now will extend Furstenberg and Katznelson's characterization theorem to an lcsc module as follows.

Theorem 2.4. Let $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be a short factors series and let Γ be an R -submodule of the lcsc R -module G . Then $\mathbf{C}_1 \sim \mathbf{C}_6$ are equivalent to each other for Γ .

Proof. We will prove Theorem 2.4 by finely modifying Furstenberg and Katznelson's framework developed in the work [14].

$\mathbf{C}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2$. Clearly any linear combination of functions of FK a.p. for Γ in $\mathcal{H}'$ is also an FK a.p. for Γ function. In order to prove that $\mathbf{C}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2$, it will suffice to show that by an arbitrary $\mathcal{L}^2$ -norm small modification of a function of the form $H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi$ described as in $\mathbf{C}_1$, we can obtain an FK a.p. for Γ function in $\mathcal{H}'$. Let $\|\phi\|_\infty > 0$; otherwise $H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi \equiv 0$ and nothing needs to do.

According to Lemma 1.4 with $\delta = 0$, there is no loss of generality in assuming that Γ is countable only thought of as a subgroup of G . As in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 1.2, there exists $H_n \rightarrow H$ in $\mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ where each $H_n(x, x')$ has the special form of finite linear combination $\sum_j \psi_j \otimes \psi'_j$ with ψ_j, ψ'_j in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$. That means that $\|H - H_n\|_{2,Y} \rightarrow 0$ as a sequence of functions of $y \in Y$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we assume without loss of generality that

$$\|H - H_n\|_{2,Y} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } y \in Y.$$

For any $\eta > 0$, by Egoroff's theorem we can find a $\mathcal{Y}$ -subset E of Y with $\nu(E) < \eta$ such that

$$\|H - H_n\|_{2,Y} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{uniformly for } y \in Y - E.$$

Since Γ is countable, we can define the Γ -invariant set

$$F = \bigcap_{g \in \Gamma} g^{-1}[E] = Y - \bigcup_{g \in \Gamma} g^{-1}[Y - E] \in \mathcal{Y} \quad (2.2)$$

and let

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi(x) & \text{if } x \notin \pi^{-1}[F], \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \pi^{-1}[F]. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Since $F \subseteq E$ and $\mu \circ \pi^{-1} = \nu$, $\nu(F) < \eta$ so that $\varphi(x)$ defined by (2.3) differs from $H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi(x)$ only on an $\mathcal{X}'$ -set of μ -measure less than η . It is sufficient to verify that $\varphi(x)$ is FK a.p. for Γ .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary constant and let there hold the following assertion.

Assertion. There exists a finite set of functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ so that for any $g \in \Gamma$,

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2,y} < \varepsilon$$

for ν -a.e. $y \in Y - E$.

Then we can find a set of functions $\tilde{\phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_k$, which is ε -spanning $\Gamma[\varphi]$ in $(\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu_y), \|\cdot\|_{2,y})$ for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$. Indeed, we now express the Γ -invariant $\mathcal{Y}$ -set

$$B := \bigcup_{g \in \Gamma} g^{-1}[Y - E] \quad (= Y - F)$$

as a disjoint union $\sum_n B_n$, where $B_n \subseteq g_n^{-1}[Y - E]$ and $\Gamma = \{g_n; n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. We then define $\mathcal{X}$ -measurable functions

$$\tilde{\phi}_j(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \pi(x) \in F = Y - B, \\ U_{g_n} \phi_j(x) & \text{if } x \in \pi^{-1}[B_n], n \geq 1; \end{cases} \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

And so we can see that $\{\tilde{\phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_k\}$ is ε -spanning $\Gamma[\varphi]$ for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$, noting that $\mu_y \circ g_n^{-1} = \mu_{g_n(y)}$ and F, B both are Γ -invariant, and that $\mu_y(F) = 1$ for ν -a.e. $y \in F$ and $\mu_y(B_n) = 1$ for ν -a.e. $y \in B_n$.

We now proceed to prove the above Assertion. Since $\|H - H_n\|_{2,y} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $Y - E$, we can find sufficiently large n with $\|H - H_n\|_{2,y} < \varepsilon/(2\|\phi\|_\infty)$ for all $y \in Y - E$. According to (1.2) it follows that for any bounded $\psi \in \mathcal{H}'$ with $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$,

$$\|H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \psi - H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \psi\|_{2,y} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|\phi\|_\infty} \cdot \|\phi\|_\infty = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall y \in Y - E. \quad (2.4)$$

If

$$H_n(x, x') = \sum_{j=1}^J \psi_j(x) \psi'_j(x') \quad \text{where } \psi_j, \psi'_j \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu) \text{ and } 1 \leq J < \infty$$

and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}'$ with $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$, then

$$H_n *_{\mathbf{Y}} \psi = \sum_{j=1}^J c_j(\psi) \psi_j$$

where

$$c_j(\psi)(x) = \int_X \psi'_j(x') \psi(x') \mu_{\pi(x)}(dx') \quad \text{with } |c_j(\psi)(x)| \leq \|\psi'_j\|_\infty \|\phi\|_\infty.$$

Now for this set $\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^J c_j(\psi) \psi_j \mid \psi \in \mathcal{H}', \|\psi\|_\infty \leq \|\phi\|_\infty \right\}$, it is obvious that there exists a finite set of functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}'$ so that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}'$ with $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$ we have

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J c_j(\psi) \psi_j - \phi_i \right\|_{2,y} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall y \in Y. \quad (2.5)$$

Indeed, let

$$-\|\phi\|_\infty \max_{1 \leq j \leq J} \|\psi'_j\|_\infty = r_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_l = \|\phi\|_\infty \max_{1 \leq j \leq J} \|\psi'_j\|_\infty$$

such that $r_{i+1} - r_i$ are sufficiently small for $0 \leq i < l$; then

$$\phi_i \in \left\{ \lambda_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \lambda_J \psi_J \mid (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J) \in \{r_0, r_1, \dots, r_l\}^J \right\}$$

is exactly what desired, noting that $c_j(\psi)(x)$ is a function on Y . Finally combined with (2.1), (2.4), and (2.5) yields the desired result.

$\mathbf{C}_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_3$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}'$ and $\delta > 0$. Choose a sequence $\delta_\ell \downarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ with $\sum_\ell \delta_\ell < \delta$. For every $\ell \geq 1$, by $\mathbf{C}_2$ there is an $\varphi_\ell \in L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ such that

$$\|\phi - \varphi_\ell\|_2 < \delta_\ell.$$

Let

$$B_\ell = \left\{ y \in Y \mid \|\phi - \varphi_\ell\|_{2,y} \geq \sqrt{\delta_\ell} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = Y - \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} B_\ell.$$

Using the identity

$$\|\phi - \varphi_\ell\|_2 = \left(\int_Y \|\phi - \varphi_\ell\|_{2,y}^2 \nu(dy) \right)^{1/2},$$

we can see that

$$\nu(B_\ell) < \delta_\ell \quad \text{and so} \quad \nu(B) > 1 - \delta.$$

Finally, to check the *FK a.p. for Γ* property of f_B , we fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose some ℓ with $\sqrt{\delta_\ell} < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. Since $\varphi_\ell \in L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$, there exist functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}$ such that for any $g \in \Gamma$,

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_g \varphi_\ell - \phi_i\|_{2,y} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } y \in Y;$$

and set $\phi_{k+1} \equiv 0$. Now let $g \in \Gamma$ be arbitrary. For ν -a.e. $y \in Y$, if $y \in g^{-1}[B]$, then

$$\|U_g \phi_B - U_g \varphi_\ell\|_{2,y} = \|\phi_B - \varphi_\ell\|_{2,g(y)} = \|\phi - \varphi_\ell\|_{2,g(y)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

so that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_g \phi_B - \phi_i\|_{2,y} < \varepsilon; \tag{2.6a}$$

if $y \notin g^{-1}[B]$, then $\phi_B = 0$ as an element of $\mathcal{H}'_{g(y)}$ so that $U_g \phi_B = 0$ in $\mathcal{H}'_y$ and further

$$\|U_g \phi_B - \phi_{k+1}\|_{2,y} = 0. \tag{2.6b}$$

This verifies the property $\mathbf{C}_3$.

$\mathbf{C}_3 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_4$. This is obvious by (2.6) and noting that $\phi_B = \phi$ as an element of $\mathcal{H}'_y$ for $y \in B$.

$\mathbf{C}_4 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_5$. Let us say that $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$ is a (δ, ε) -spanning set for $\phi \in \mathcal{H}'$ if $\mathbf{C}_4$ holds. Without loss of generality we can assume that the ϕ_i are bounded functions. Then $\bar{\phi}_i \otimes \phi_i$ is in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X \times X, \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}, \mu \otimes_Y \mu)$. Suppose $P(\phi \otimes \bar{\phi}) = 0$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\int_{X \times X} \bar{\phi}_i \otimes \phi_i \cdot P(\phi \otimes \bar{\phi}) d\mu \otimes_Y \mu = 0.$$

Using the definition of $P(\phi \otimes \bar{\phi})$ in $\mathbf{C}_5$ and Fubini's theorem, we find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_\Gamma(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_Y \left| \int_X \bar{\phi}_i(x) \phi(g(x)) \mu_y(dx) \right|^2 \nu(dy) dg = 0$$

It follows that for n sufficiently large there will exist some $g \in F_n$ for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \int_Y \left| \int_X \bar{\phi}_i(x) \phi(g(x)) \mu_y(dx) \right|^2 \nu(dy)$$

is sufficiently small. Thus, for such g , but for a set of $y \in Y$ of ν -measure less than δ we shall have for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\left| \int_X \bar{\phi}_i(x) \phi(g(x)) \mu_y(dx) \right|^2 < \varepsilon^2.$$

However since $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$ is a (δ, ε) -spanning set for ϕ , $\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|U_g \phi - \phi_i\|_{2,y}^2 < \varepsilon^2$ but for a set of $y \in Y$ of ν -measure less than δ . It follows that but for a set of $y \in Y$ of ν -measure less than 2δ we have $\|U_g \phi\|_{2,y}^2 < 3\varepsilon^2$. The same is then true for ϕ and since δ and ε were arbitrary it follows that $\phi = 0$ in $\mathcal{H}'$.

$\mathbf{C}_5 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_6$. This is immediate by the $\mathcal{L}^2$ -mean ergodic theorem (cf. [5]).

$\mathbf{C}_6 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_1$. In contrast with the statement, we suppose that the set $\mathcal{F}$ of functions, which is defined to be

$$\mathcal{F} = \{H *_Y \phi : H \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X \times X, \mathcal{X}' \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mu \otimes_Y \mu) \text{ with } gH = H \ \forall g \in \Gamma, \phi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)\},$$

were not dense in $\mathcal{H}'$. Then we can choose some $\psi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ which is orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{H}'$ and from the $\mathcal{L}^2$ -mean ergodic theorem [5] we form a function in $\mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ as follows:

$$H(x, x') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_\Gamma(F_n)} \int_{F_n} U_g(\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(x, x') dg = P(\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(x, x')$$

over some weak Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_1^\infty$ in Γ with a left Haar measure m_Γ . The function H is Γ -invariant and by passing to a subsequence of $\{F_n\}_1^\infty$ we see that $\|H\|_\infty \leq \|\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}\|_\infty < \infty$. So by hypothesis we have $\psi \perp (H *_Y \psi)$. Thus

$$\int_X \left(\int_X H(x, x') \psi(x') \mu_{\pi(x)}(dx') \right) \bar{\psi}(x) \mu(dx) = 0.$$

By $\mu = \int_Y \mu_y \nu(dy)$ and $\mu_y(\pi^{-1}(y)) = 1$, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_Y \left\{ \int_X \left(\int_X H(x, x') \psi(x') \mu_{\pi(x)}(dx') \right) \bar{\psi}(x) \mu_y(dx) \right\} \nu(dy) \\ &= \int_Y \left\{ \int_X \int_X H(x, x') \psi(x') \bar{\psi}(x) \mu_y(dx') \mu_y(dx) \right\} \nu(dy) \\ &= \int \int \int H(x, x') \cdot \overline{\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}}(x, x') \mu_y(dx') \mu_y(dx) \nu(dy) \\ &= \int_{X \times X} H \cdot \overline{\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}} d\mu \otimes_Y \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $H \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ is orthogonal to $\psi \otimes \bar{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$. Since H is Γ -invariant, we obtain that $U_g(\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}) \perp H$ for all $g \in \Gamma$. From this follows that $P(\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})$ which is the ergodic average of $U_g(\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})$ with respect to Γ is orthogonal to H . But $P(\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}) = H$; so $P(\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}) = 0$ a.e. and finally by $\mathbf{C}_6$ we conclude that $\psi = 0$ in $\mathcal{H}'$.

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is thus completed. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *If $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is relatively compact for R -submodules $\Gamma', \Gamma'' \subset G$, respectively; then it is also relatively compact for $\Gamma' \times \Gamma''$.*

Proof. This follows from the same argument of [14, Proposition 2.3] or [13, Proposition 6.14] by using $\mathbf{C}_4$. So we omit the details here. $\square$

As to be shown by [9, Example 7.19], it is not true that any $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is automatically FK a.p. for Γ for a compact extension X of Y ; however $\mathbf{C}_3$ circumvents this drawback.

Without the amenability, we can obtain the following weak implications:

Theorem 2.6. *Let Γ be an R -submodule of an lcsc R -module G and $X \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_X} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ a short factors series. Then*

$$\mathbf{C}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_3 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_4$$

for $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$.

In addition, from Lemma 2.3-(1) and Assertion in the proof of $\mathbf{C}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2$ in Theorem 2.4, we can easily obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let Γ be an R -submodule of an lcsc R -module G and $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ a short factors series. Let $H \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ be a Γ -invariant bounded function and let $\phi \in \mathfrak{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$; then $H *_Y \phi$ is FK a.a.p. for Γ as in $\mathbf{C}_4$.*

The following lemma will be needed in proving our dichotomy theorem in §4.2.

Lemma 2.8. *Let K be a compact subset of the lcsc R -module G , Γ an R -submodule of G , and $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ a short factors series. If*

$$L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma) = \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu),$$

then each $\varphi \in \mathfrak{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ is FK a.a.p. for $K \times \Gamma$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.4 (i.e. $\mathbf{C}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{C}_4$) and Lemma 2.3-(1), it is sufficient to show that if $\varphi \in L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', G; \Gamma)$ then φ is FK a.a.p. for $K \times \Gamma$. Now let $\varphi \in L_{FKap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', G; \Gamma)$ and $\delta > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ be any given. Take $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_J \in \mathcal{H}$ so that

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq J} \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2,y} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (v\text{-a.e. } y \in Y).$$

Next we can find some $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta, \varepsilon, J) > 0$ such that

$$\psi \in \mathcal{H}', \|\psi\|_{2,\mu} < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\psi\|_{2,y} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{but for a set of } y \in Y \text{ of } v\text{-measure less than } \frac{\delta}{J}.$$

Since

$$\Phi = \{U_g \phi_j; g \in K, 1 \leq j \leq J\}$$

is compact in $\mathcal{H}$, we can find $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$ -balls

$$B_{\epsilon/3}(\psi_1), \dots, B_{\epsilon/3}(\psi_K)$$

in $\mathcal{H}$, which cover Φ . Now for any $f \in K, g \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{1 \leq i \leq K} \|U_{fg} \varphi - \psi_i\|_{2,y} &\leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq K} \{ \|U_f(U_g \varphi) - U_f \phi_j\|_{2,y} + \|U_f \phi_j - \psi_i\|_{2,y} \} \\ &= \min_{1 \leq i \leq K} \{ \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2,f(y)} + \|U_f \phi_j - \psi_i\|_{2,y} \} \\ &\leq \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2,f(y)} + \min_{1 \leq i \leq K} \|U_f \phi_j - \psi_i\|_{2,y}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$Y_j = \left\{ y \in Y \mid \|U_g \varphi - \phi_j\|_{2,f(y)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right\}.$$

Clearly, $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup \dots \cup Y_J$ (v -mod 0). Thus, for $y \in Y_j$,

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq K} \|U_{fg} \varphi - \psi_i\|_{2,y} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{but for a set of } y \in Y_j \text{ of } v\text{-measure less than } \frac{\delta}{J}.$$

This implies that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq K} \|U_{fg} \varphi - \psi_i\|_{2,y} < \varepsilon \quad \text{but for a set of } y \in Y \text{ of } v\text{-measure less than } \delta.$$

Note that the choice of $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_K \in \mathcal{H}$ is independent of $f \in K, g \in \Gamma$.

The proof of Lemma 2.8 is thus completed. $\square$

Finally we note that by the same arguments, we can see that Theorem 2.4 holds for any lcsc amenable group G and amenable subgroup Γ without the R -module structure of G .

3. Alternating theorems for locally compact second countable modules

This section is devoted to proving alternating theorems for *lcsc* R -modules which generalize Furstenberg and Katznelson's theorem using slightly different methods. We say Γ is a *nontrivial* R -submodule of an *lcsc* R -module G over a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ if Γ is an R -submodule with $\Gamma \neq \{I\}$.

- Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, G) \xrightarrow{Id_X} \mathbf{X}' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu, G)$ be a short factors series, where
 - $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is a standard Borel probability space.

Differently with [14, 13, 9], we will work on the intermediate factor $\mathbf{X}'$, not directly on $\mathbf{X}$, as in §2.

3.1. Totally relatively weak-mixing extensions

Recall from the viewpoint of group as in [14, 13] that $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is referred to as *relatively weak-mixing for an element* g in G if every g -invariant (or equivalently $\{g^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ -invariant) function $H(x, x')$ in $\mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ is a function on $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ via the factor G -map

$$\pi \times_Y \pi: X \times X \rightarrow Y.$$

Let Γ be a subgroup of G ; then we say $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is (totally) *relatively weak-mixing for* Γ if $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is relatively weak-mixing for every $g, g \neq I$, in Γ (cf. [13, Def. 6.3]).

We now generalize this to modules case as follows:

Definition 3.1. Let Γ be a nontrivial subset of the *lcsc* R -module G and $g \in G, g \neq I$. Then $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is said to be

- relatively weak-mixing for* g if every $\langle g \rangle_R$ -invariant function $H(x, x') \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ is a function on $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ via $\pi \times_Y \pi: X \times X \rightarrow Y$.
- totally relatively weak-mixing for* Γ if $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is relatively weak-mixing for each g in Γ with $g \neq I$ under the sense of (a);
- jointly relatively weak-mixing for* Γ if every $\langle \Gamma \rangle_R$ -invariant function $H(x, x') \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ is a function on $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ via $\pi \times_Y \pi: X \times X \rightarrow Y$. See [24, 15] for $(R, +, \cdot) = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ and $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}'$.

Since every $\langle \Gamma \rangle_R$ -invariant function is $\langle g \rangle_R$ -invariant for each $g \in \Gamma$, a totally relatively weak-mixing extension must be a jointly relatively weak-mixing extension for Γ ; but the converse does not need to be true.

Remark 3.2. Given any $T \neq I$ in G , if $(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, T)$ is weakly mixing, then $(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, \langle T \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is totally weak-mixing (cf. [13, Proposition 4.7]). However, this is never the case for extensions.

- If $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is relatively weak-mixing for g ($g \neq I$), then it is not necessarily totally relatively weak-mixing for $\langle g \rangle_R$ unless $Rr = R$ for each $r \neq 0$ like R to be a field.

That is to say, “ $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is relatively weak-mixing for $g \neq I$ ” $\neq$ “ $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is totally relatively weak-mixing for $\langle g \rangle_R$ ” in general.

Proposition 3.3. Let Γ be a dense subgroup of an *lcsc* group G . Then $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is jointly relatively ergodic for G if and only if so is $\pi: (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, \Gamma) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu, \Gamma)$ for Γ .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that for any $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$, $\varphi(x)$ is $(\mu$ -a.e.) G -invariant if and only if it is $(\mu$ -a.e.) Γ -invariant. This is obvious since $g \mapsto U_g \varphi$ is continuous from G to $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$. $\square$

3.2. Joint case

Our theorem below is a generalization of Furstenberg and Katznelson's alternating theorem by only considering the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma = \langle T \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $T \in G$ with $T \neq I$ (cf. [14, Proposition 2.2], [13, Theorem 6.15] and [9, Theorem 7.21]). With Theorem 2.4 at hands, now we may independently prove our first alternating theorem as follows:

Theorem 3.4 (Alternating Theorem I). Let Γ be a nontrivial R -submodule of an *lcsc* R -module G , and $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{Id_X} \mathbf{X}' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Y}$ a short factors series, where $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is nontrivial. Then either

(1) $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is jointly relatively weak-mixing for Γ ;

or

(2) there exists an intermediate factor $X'' = (X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G)$ between X' and Y such that:

- $\pi: X'' \rightarrow Y$ is nontrivial, relatively compact for Γ ;
- $\{x\}$ is an $\mathcal{X}''$ -set for each $x \in X$;
- $Id_X: X' \rightarrow X''$.

Proof. First of all, note that conditions $C_1 \sim C_6$ are equivalent to each other for Γ under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.4.

(1): We first note that if $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is jointly relatively weak-mixing for Γ , then from the non-triviality of $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ it follows that $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is not relatively C_1 -compact for Γ . Indeed, if $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is relatively compact for Γ , then for any $\mu \otimes_Y \mu$ -a.e. Γ -invariant $H(x, x') \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ and any $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$, by the jointly relatively weak-mixing,

$$H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi(x) = \int_X H(x, x') \phi(x') \mu_{\pi(x)}(dx') = \int_X H(z, x') \phi(x') \mu_{\pi(z)}(dx') = H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi(z)$$

for any $x, z \in \pi^{-1}(y)$ for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$; hence by C_1 , $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{L}^2(X, \pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}], \mu)$ and so $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is trivial, a contradiction.

Conversely, if $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is relatively compact for Γ , then by C_1 it similarly follows that $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is never jointly relatively weak-mixing for Γ . So if (1) holds, we then can stop here.

(2): Next we assume $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is not jointly relatively weak-mixing for Γ . This means that we can find a bounded Γ -invariant ($\mu \otimes_Y \mu$ -a.e.) function $H \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$ on $X \times X$, which is not a (lift of some) function on $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$. Then replacing $H(x, x')$ by $H(x', x)$ if necessary, we can conclude that:

There exists some $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu)$ such that $H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is bounded but not a function on $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$. See, e.g., [9, Lemma 7.22].

By Lemma 2.7, $H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \varphi$ is a bounded function of FK a.a.p. for Γ as in C_4 . Hence there always exist bounded functions in $L^2_{FKaap}(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ which are not functions on $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$.

By Lemma 2.3-(1), it is clear that sums, products and limits of $\mathcal{L}^2$ -functions of FK a.a.p. for Γ are still $\mathcal{L}^2$ -functions of FK a.a.p. for Γ .

Moreover (the lifting of) all of the functions in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ are FK a.a.p. for Γ for the extension $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$. Indeed, for any $A \in \mathcal{Y}$, let

$$H(x, x') = 1_{\pi^{-1}[\cup_{g \in \Gamma} g^{-1}[A]]}(x) \in \mathcal{H}' \otimes_Y \mathcal{H}'$$

which is Γ -invariant on $X \times X$ and we set $\phi(x') = 1_{\pi^{-1}[A]}(x')$; then it is easy to check that $1_{\pi^{-1}[A]}(x) = H *_{\mathbf{Y}} \phi(x)$. This shows $1_{\pi^{-1}[A]}(\cdot)$ is FK a.a.p. for Γ by Lemma 2.7. Then $\mathcal{L}^\infty(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu) \subseteq L^2_{FKaap}(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ by Lemma 2.3.

In addition, for any point $x_0 \in X$, $1_{\{x_0\}}$ is FK a.a.p. for Γ . Indeed, if $\mu(\{x_0\}) = 0$, the assertion holds for $1_{\{x_0\}}(x) \equiv 0$ a.e.; otherwise, x_0 is a periodic point for G and then the assertion also holds automatically.

Let

$$\mathcal{X}'' = \{A \in \mathcal{X}' \mid 1_A(\cdot) \text{ is FK a.a.p. for } \Gamma \text{ for } X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y\},$$

which contains $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}]$ because $1_{\pi^{-1}[A]}$ is in $L^2_{FKaap}(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{Y}$; then it is a σ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}'$ by the Halmos Monotone-class Theorem, since $L^2_{FKaap}(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ is an algebra and it is closed. In addition $\mathcal{X}''$ is obviously Γ -invariant and $\{x\} \in \mathcal{X}''$ for all $x \in X$; moreover,

- $\mathcal{X}''$ is G -invariant by the commutativity of G .

This shows that if we define $X'' = (X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G)$, where the G -action map is defined by $(g, x) = g(x)$ same as in X' ; then X'' is a factor of X' via the factor G -map $Id_X: X \rightarrow X$ and Y is a factor of X'' via the factor G -map $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$.

We now claim that $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu) = L^2_{FKaap}(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G; \Gamma)$. Indeed, let ϕ be $\mathcal{X}''$ -measurable, then ϕ is the limit in $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu)$ of finite linear combinations of characteristic functions of $\mathcal{X}''$ -sets, and hence ϕ belongs to

$L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G; \Gamma)$, since $L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ is closed.⁵ Therefore we can conclude that $\pi: X'' \rightarrow Y$ is a relatively compact extension for Γ .

Finally we notice that $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}] \subsetneq \mathcal{X}''$ (μ -mod 0); this is because

$$L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma) \subseteq L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G; \Gamma)$$

and there always exist bounded functions in $L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$ which are not functions on $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$.

Therefore $\pi: X'' \rightarrow Y$ is a nontrivial relatively compact extension of Y for Γ . The proof of Theorem 3.4 is thus completed. $\square$

Using Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.3, we can similarly obtain the following

Theorem 3.5 (Alternating Theorem II). *Let G be an lcsc amenable group and $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ a short factors series, where $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is nontrivial. Then at least one of the following two statements holds:*

- (1) $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is jointly relatively weak-mixing for G ;
- (2) there exists an intermediate factor $X'' = (X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G)$ between X' and Y such that $\pi: X'' \rightarrow Y$ is nontrivial relatively compact for G .

Proof. If (1) holds, we then stop here. Now assume $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is not jointly relatively weak-mixing for G . The proof is almost same as that of the second part of Theorem 3.4. We only need to note that the G -invariance of $\mathcal{X}''$ here follows from Lemma 2.3-(3). $\square$

Note that we can further disintegrate μ over the factor $Id_X: X \rightarrow X''$.

3.3. Individual case

A special case of Theorem 3.4 is the following

Theorem 3.6 (Alternating Theorem III). *Let G be an lcsc R -module and $X \xrightarrow{Id_X} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ a short factors series where $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is nontrivial. Then at least one of the followings holds:*

- (1) $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is totally relatively weak-mixing for G ;
- (2) there exists an intermediate factor $X'' = (X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G)$ between X' and Y with $\mathcal{X}'' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ such that
 - $\pi: X'' \rightarrow Y$ is a nontrivial, relatively compact extension for $\langle g \rangle_R$ for some element g in G with $g \neq I$;
 - $\{x\}$ is an $\mathcal{X}''$ -set for each $x \in X$;
 - $Id_X: X' \rightarrow X''$.

Proof. If (1) does not hold, then there exists some element $g \in G, g \neq I$, such that $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is not jointly relatively weak-mixing for the R -submodule $\langle g \rangle_R$. Then by Theorem 3.4, the statement (2) of Theorem 3.6 holds. The proof of Theorem 3.6 is thus complete. $\square$

3.4. A remark on intermediate factors

It should be noted here that although the intermediate factors $X'' = (X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G)$ in Theorems 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 are not necessarily to be standard Borel G -spaces (i.e., there does not need to exist a topology on X so that $(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu)$ is a Polish Borel probability space and the G -action is Borel), yet Theorem 2.4 is still valid for these factors of the standard Borel system X . This point is important for us to build up a structure theorem that consists of “primitive” links in the factors chain in §4 below.

⁵It should be noted here that if $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu) \cap L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G; \Gamma)$, then $\phi \in L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G; \Gamma)$. This is just the reason why we did not require $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}'$ instead of $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}$ in C_4 . Otherwise, we cannot check that 1_A is FK a.a.p. for Γ (for needing to find $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{H}''$) for any $A \in \mathcal{X}''$.

4. Dichotomy theorem and Furstenberg structure theorem

We will generalize Furstenberg's dichotomy and structure theorems in this section. First we suppose that G is an $lcsc$ R -module over a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ as in Introduction and assume

$$\pi: \mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu, G)$$

is an extension under Borel acting by G , where

- $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is a standard Borel G -space and $(Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$ is a Borel G -space.

Then conditions $\mathbf{C}_1 \sim \mathbf{C}_6$ are equivalent to each other by Theorem 2.4.

The main arguments of this section will need the condition that $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a syndetic ring and G is Noetherian.

4.1. Primitive factors

Let $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{Id_X} \mathbf{X}' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Y}$ be a short factors series, where $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is nontrivial and Id_X is the identity of X to itself.

Definition 4.1 (cf. [13, Def. 6.5] and [14] for $R = \mathbb{Z}$). We shall say that $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is *primitive* if one of the following holds:

- (a) $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is totally relatively weak-mixing for G ;
- (b) $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is relatively compact for G ;
- (c) G is the nontrivial direct product of two R -submodules $G = G_{rc} \times G_{rw}$ such that $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is relatively compact for G_{rc} and totally relatively weak-mixing for G_{rw} .

The case (c) will be called “chaotically primitive”.

We notice here that (a) and (c) both require that $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a standard Borel G -space; otherwise, there is no the definition of relatively weak-mixing for G or G_{rw} over $\mathbf{X}'$.

4.2. Dichotomy theorem

Combining Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 2.5 we can obtain our dichotomy theorem—Theorem 4.2, which is a generalization of the Furstenberg-Katznelson dichotomy theorem (cf. [14, Theorem 2.4] and also [13, Theorem 6.16]) and of Furstenberg's [11, Theorem 10.3].

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be an $lcsc$ **Noetherian** R -module over a **syndetic** ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. If $\pi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a nontrivial extension, then one can find a short factors series, $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{Id_X} \mathbf{X}' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Y}$, such that*

- $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a nontrivial primitive extension of $\mathbf{Y}$.

Proof. Let $\pi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ itself be neither totally relatively weak-mixing for G nor relatively compact for G ; otherwise the statement holds trivially by taking $\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}$.

Then by Theorem 3.6, it follows that there is a factor $\mathbf{X}' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G)$ of $\mathbf{X}$ such that $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a nontrivial relatively compact extension for $\langle g \rangle_R$, for some $g \in G$ with $g \neq I$. Let Γ_{rc} be the nonempty collection of all R -submodules of G for which $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a nontrivial relatively compact extension for some factor $(\mathbf{X}', \pi)$ of $\mathbf{X}$. Since G is Noetherian, we can choose a maximal R -submodule from Γ_{rc} , say G_{rc} , such that $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a nontrivial relatively compact extension for G_{rc} and that $G_{rc} \neq \{I\}$, where $\mathbf{X}' = (X, \mathcal{X}', \mu, G)$ is such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{X}'$ for each $x \in X$.

Let $G_{rc} \neq G$; otherwise Theorem 4.2 holds. We then can claim that for any $g \in G \setminus G_{rc}$, $\mathbf{X}' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Y}$ is not relatively compact for $\langle g \rangle_R$. For if not, one can find some $g \in G \setminus G_{rc}$ so that $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a nontrivial compact extension for the R -submodule $\langle g \rangle_R \times G_{rc}$ that is larger than G_{rc} , by Lemma 2.5. This contradicts the maximality of G_{rc} .

Now we will consider the short factors series: $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{Id_X} \mathbf{X}' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Y}$. We will assert that for any $g \in G \setminus G_{rc}$, $\pi: \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is *jointly* relatively weak-mixing for the R -submodule $\langle g \rangle_R$. Indeed, if not, then by Theorem 3.4 it follows that there is a factor of $\mathbf{X}'$, $\mathbf{X}'' = (X, \mathcal{X}'', \mu, G)$, such that $\pi: \mathbf{X}'' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is nontrivial relatively compact for $\Gamma = \langle g \rangle_R$ for some

$g \notin G_{rc}$; and further $\pi: X'' \rightarrow Y$ is nontrivial relatively compact for $\Gamma \times G_{rc}$ by Lemma 2.5. This is a contradiction to the maximality of G_{rc} .

Because R is a syndetic ring, $\langle g \rangle_R \cap G_{rc} = \{I\}$ for any $g \notin G_{rc}$. Otherwise, for some $t \neq 0$, $tg \in G_{rc}$, so $Rtg \subset G_{rc}$ and then $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is relatively compact for $Rtg = \langle tg \rangle_R$. Since R is syndetic, we can find a compact subset K of R with $K + Rt = R$. Further, by Lemma 2.8, it follows that $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is relatively compact for $Rg = \langle g \rangle_R$. This is a contradiction.

Then we can similarly find a maximal R -submodule of G , say G_{rw} , outside G_{rc} such that $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is jointly relatively weak-mixing for G_{rw} . We may claim that

$$G = G_{rc} \times G_{rw}.$$

Indeed, if not, then there exists some $g \in G \setminus G_{rc} \times G_{rw}$; clearly $(\langle g \rangle_R \times G_{rw}) \cap G_{rc} = \{I\}$ because

$$(tg)g_{rw} = g_{rc} \in G_{rc}, \quad t \neq 0, g_{rw} \in G_{rw} \Rightarrow tg = g_{rc}g_{rw}^{-1} \in G_{rc} \times G_{rw};$$

so the extension $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is jointly relatively weak-mixing for $\langle g \rangle_R \times G_{rw}$; this contradicts the maximality of G_{rw} .

Then by $G_{rw} \cap G_{rc} = \{I\}$, it follows that $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is *totally* relatively weak-mixing for G_{rw} . Thus, $\pi: X' \rightarrow Y$ is primitive in the sense of Def. 4.1(b) or Def. 4.1(c). This proves Theorem 4.2. $\square$

This theorem will provide us with the main ingredient in the proof of our Structure Theorem I (Theorem 0.2) as in the classical case of Hillel Furstenberg.

4.3. Structure theorems

To formulate the structure theorems, we need the notion of limit of factors. If $Z = (Z, \mathcal{Z}, \mu, G)$ is a measure-preserving system and $\beta_\theta: Z \rightarrow Z_\theta, \theta \in \Theta$, is a system of factors of Z . We shall say that Z is an *inverse limit* of the factors $\{Z_\theta; \theta \in \Theta\}$ if $\mathcal{Z}$ is generated by the family of σ -subalgebras $\beta_\theta^{-1}[\mathcal{Z}_\theta] \pmod{0}$, i.e., $\mathcal{Z} = \sigma(\bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} \beta_\theta^{-1}[\mathcal{Z}_\theta]) \pmod{0}$; written as $Z = \varprojlim_{\theta \in \Theta} Z_\theta$.

In addition, any trivial extension is itself totally relatively weak-mixing for G . Whence we only need to study nontrivial extensions.

We now reformulate Theorem 0.2 in a slightly general version as follows:

Theorem 4.3 (Structure Theorem I). *Let G be an lcsc Noetherian R -module over a syndetic ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. Then, for any nontrivial standard Borel extension $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, there exists an ordinal η and a system of factors $\{\pi_\xi: X \rightarrow X_\xi\}_{\xi \leq \eta}$ with $\pi_\xi = Id_X$ and $\{x\} \in \mathcal{X}_\xi \forall x \in X$ for $0 < \xi < \eta$ such that*

- (a) $X_\eta = X \pmod{0}$ and $X_0 = Y, \pi_0 = \pi$.
- (b) For each pair of ordinals θ, ξ with $0 \leq \theta < \xi \leq \eta$, there is a factor G -map $\pi_{\xi, \theta}: X_\xi \rightarrow X_\theta$ such that $\pi_\theta = \pi_{\xi, \theta} \circ \pi_\xi$.
- (c) For each ordinal ξ with $0 \leq \xi < \eta$, $\pi_{\xi+1, \xi}: X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_\xi$ is a nontrivial primitive extension.
- (d) If ξ is a limit ordinal with $0 < \xi \leq \eta$, then $X_\xi = \varprojlim_{\theta < \xi} X_\theta$.

Moreover, in the factors chain

$$X \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{\xi+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\xi+1, \xi}} X_\xi \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_{1,0}} X_0$$

every intermediate link $\pi_{\xi+1, \xi}: X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_\xi$ is such that $\pi_{\xi+1, \xi} = Id_X$ for $0 < \xi < \eta$.

Proof. If $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ itself is primitive, then let $\eta = 1, X_1 = X, \pi_1 = Id_X$, and $\pi_{1,0} = \pi$ and hence Theorem 4.3 holds. Next assume that $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is not primitive and we will denote by $\Theta = \{1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \dots\}$ the set of all ordinals bigger than 0.

By contrary, suppose that there exists no an ordinal η satisfying the requirements of the structure theorem. Then by transfinite induction and letting $X_0 = Y$, we can construct a system of factors

$$\pi_0: X \rightarrow X_0, \quad \pi_\theta: X \rightarrow X_\theta = (X, \mathcal{X}_\theta, \mu, G) \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta,$$

such that:

- (b) $\pi_\theta: X \xrightarrow{\pi_\xi} X_\xi \xrightarrow{\pi_{\xi,\theta}} X_\theta$ for all $0 \leq \theta < \xi$;
- (c) for each ordinal $\theta \geq 0$, the extension $\pi_{\theta+1,\theta}: X_{\theta+1} \rightarrow X_\theta$ is primitive and nontrivial; and
- (d) $X_\xi = \varprojlim_{\theta < \xi} X_\theta$ if ξ is a limit ordinal.

Indeed, let $\pi_0 = \pi$; and for the ordinal $\theta = 1$, by Theorem 4.2, it follows that there is a factor $X_1 = (X, \mathcal{X}_1, \mu, G)$ of X such that $\pi: X \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_{1,0}} X_0$ and $X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_{1,0}} X_0$ is nontrivial primitive. Now, given any ordinal $\theta \in \Theta$, $\theta \geq 2$, let, for any ordinal $\xi < \theta$, there be factor X_ξ of X such that $\pi_{\xi+1,\xi}: X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_\xi$ is nontrivial primitive whenever $\xi + 1 < \theta$ and that for any $\xi < \alpha < \theta$ there holds $\pi_\xi: X \xrightarrow{\pi_\alpha} X_\alpha \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha,\xi}} X_\xi$. If θ is an isolated ordinal, then by Theorem 4.2 we can interpolate a factor, say $X_\theta = (X, \mathcal{X}_\theta, \mu, G)$, of X between $X \xrightarrow{\pi_{\theta-1}} X_{\theta-1}$ such that

$$\pi_{\theta-1}: X \xrightarrow{\pi_\theta} X \xrightarrow{\pi_{\theta,\theta-1}} X_{\theta-1}$$

and such that $\pi_{\theta,\theta-1}: X_\theta \rightarrow X_{\theta-1}$ is nontrivial primitive. If θ is a limit ordinal, then we set $\mathcal{X}_\theta = \sigma(\bigcup_{\xi < \theta} \mathcal{X}_\xi)$ which is a σ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{X}_0 = \pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}]$, and we now define $\pi_{\theta,0} = \pi, \pi_{\theta,\xi} = Id_X$ for $0 < \xi < \theta$. This completes the induction-hypothesis.

Finally we let $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu$ be the Boolean σ -algebra of $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$; that is, $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu$ consists of equivalence classes of sets in $\mathcal{X}$, where $A \sim B$ if $\mu(A \cup B - A \cap B) = 0$. By $|\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu|$ we denote the power of the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu$ and let η be the initial ordinal corresponding to the power $2^{|\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu|}$. Now for any ordinal $\theta < \eta$, since the extension $\pi_{\theta+1,\theta}: X_{\theta+1} \rightarrow X_\theta$ is nontrivial, hence we can find a point

$$\tilde{x}_\theta \in \pi_{\theta+1}^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\theta+1}]_\mu - \pi_\theta^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta]_\mu \subsetneq \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu.$$

From that $\tilde{x}_\xi \neq \tilde{x}_\theta$ for all $\xi \neq \theta$, it follows that the power $|\{\tilde{x}_\theta; \theta < \eta\}| = 2^{|\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu|} > |\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu|$. However, this yields a contradiction to that $\{\tilde{x}_\theta; \theta < \eta\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\mu$.

The proof of Theorem 4.3 is thus completed. $\square$

Recall that an ordinal θ is said to be isolated if it is not a limit ordinal; in other word, we have the ordinal $\theta - 1$. The following is a simple observation.

Lemma 4.4. *Let Γ be a submodule of an lcsc R -module G and X a standard Borel G -space. If there is an isolated ordinal θ and a system of G -factors $\{\pi_\xi: X \rightarrow X_\xi\}_{\xi \leq \theta}$ such that*

- (1) *for each ordinal $\xi < \theta$ there is a factor G -map $\pi_{\theta,\xi}: X_\theta \rightarrow X_\xi$ with $\pi_\xi = \pi_{\theta,\xi} \circ \pi_\theta$, and*
- (2) *$\pi_{\theta,\theta-1}: X_\theta \rightarrow X_{\theta-1}$ is relatively $\mathbf{C}_4$ -compact for Γ ;*

then $\pi_{\xi+1,\xi}: X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_\xi$ is relatively $\mathbf{C}_4$ -compact for Γ for each ordinal $\xi < \theta$.

Proof. The statement follows from the following factors series:

$$X_\theta \xrightarrow{\pi_{\theta,\theta-1}} X_{\theta-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\theta-1,\xi+1}} X_{\xi+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\xi+1,\xi}} X_\xi.$$

Given any $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mathcal{X}_{\xi+1}, \mu)$, by the definition of $\mathbf{C}_4$, it follows that φ belongs to $L_{FKaap}^2(X, \mathcal{X}_\theta, G : \Gamma)$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

The following is a special case of Theorem 0.2.

Theorem 4.5 (Maximal Distal Factor). *Let G be an lcsc Noetherian R -module of rank 1 over a syndetic ring R and X a standard Borel G -space. Then there exists an ordinal η and a system of factors $\{\pi_\xi: X \rightarrow X_\xi\}_{\xi \leq \eta}$ such that*

- (a) $\pi_\eta: X \rightarrow X_\eta$ is totally relatively weak-mixing for G or $X_\eta = X$ (μ -mod 0).
- (b) $X_0 \approx (X, \mathcal{X}_0, \mu, G)$ where $\mathcal{X}_0 = \{\emptyset, X\}$.
- (c) For each pair of ordinals θ, ξ with $0 \leq \theta < \xi \leq \eta$, there is a factor G -map $\pi_{\xi,\theta}: X_\xi \rightarrow X_\theta$ such that $\pi_\theta = \pi_{\xi,\theta} \circ \pi_\xi$.
- (d) For each ordinal $\xi < \eta$, $\pi_{\xi+1,\xi}: X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_\xi$ is a nontrivial relatively compact extension for G .

(e) If ξ is a limit ordinal $\leq \eta$, then $X_\xi = \varprojlim_{\theta < \xi} X_\theta$.

Proof. Based on Lemma 4.4 and Theorems 4.3 and 2.4, we can conclude this theorem. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. Let $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ be the 2-dimensional torus and let μ be the standard Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^2$. Assume $\mathbb{Z}$ acts μ -preserving on $\mathbb{T}^2$ induced by $T: (x, y) \mapsto (x, y + x)$ and set $X = (\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}^2}, \mu, \mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$X \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{\theta+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\theta+1, \theta}} X_\theta \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_{1,0}} X_0$$

be the factors chain of X by Theorem 4.5. Then there exists at least one intermediate link $\pi_{\theta+1, \theta}: X_{\theta+1} \rightarrow X_\theta$ which is relatively compact for $\mathbb{Z}$ but there is some $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{X}_{\theta+1}, \mu)$ with $\varphi \geq 0$ a.e. and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi d\mu > 0$ such that φ is not a.p. for $\mathbb{Z}$ in the sense of Def. 2.2.

Proof. Otherwise, by [6], it follows that X would have the multiple Khintchine recurrence. However, this is a contradiction to [3, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 2.1]. $\square$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3, we can obtain the following another structure theorem.

Theorem 4.7 (Structure Theorem II). Let G be an lcsc amenable group and X a nontrivial standard Borel G -space. Then there exists an ordinal η and a system of factors $\{\pi_\xi: X \rightarrow X_\xi\}_{\xi \leq \eta}$ such that

- (a) $\pi_\eta: X \rightarrow X_\eta$ is jointly relatively weak-mixing for G or $X_\eta = X$ (μ -mod 0).
- (b) $X_0 \approx (X, \mathcal{X}_0, \mu, G)$ where $\mathcal{X}_0 = \{\emptyset, X\}$.
- (c) For each pair of ordinals θ, ξ with $\theta < \xi \leq \eta$, there is a factor G -map $\pi_{\xi, \theta}: X_\xi \rightarrow X_\theta$ such that $\pi_\theta = \pi_{\xi, \theta} \circ \pi_\xi$.
- (d) For each ordinal $\xi < \eta$, $\pi_{\xi+1, \xi}: X_{\xi+1} \rightarrow X_\xi$ is a nontrivial relatively compact extension for G itself.
- (e) If ξ is a limit ordinal with $\xi \leq \eta$, then $X_\xi = \varprojlim_{\theta < \xi} X_\theta$.

Proof. The statement follows from Theorem 3.5 by making use of the transfinite induction as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. $\square$

We note here that if G is a discrete countable abelian group, this is just another Structure Theorem [15, Theorem 10.15] using different approaches.

In addition, it should be noted that the jointly relatively weak-mixing for G is essentially weaker than the totally relatively weak-mixing for G as mentioned before. It turns out that the totally relatively weak-mixing, not the jointly relatively weak-mixing, is very important for proving the multiple recurrence theorem in our subsequent applications [6, 7].

Acknowledgments

This work was partly supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant #11271183 and PAPD of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

References

- [1] J. AVIGAD AND H. TOWNSNER. Metastability in the Furstenberg-Zimmer tower. *Fund. Math.*, **210** (2010), 243–268.
- [2] F. BELEZNAY AND M. FOREMAN. The complexity of the collection of measure-distal transformations. *Ergod. Theory Dynam. Systems*, **16** (1996), 929–962.
- [3] V. BERGELSON, B. HOST AND B. KRA. Multiple recurrence and nilsequences. *Invent. Math.*, **160** (2005), 261–303.
- [4] X. DAI. A fixed point theorem of Markov-Kakutani type for a commuting family of convex multivalent maps. *Fixed Point Theor-Ro.*, article in press 2015.
- [5] X. DAI. Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages of bounded measurable functions for amenable groups. arXiv: 1604.00611v2 [math.DS].
- [6] X. DAI. An extension of Furstenberg’s structure theorem for Noetherian modules and multiple recurrence theorems II. arXiv: xxx [math.DS].
- [7] X. DAI. An extension of Furstenberg’s structure theorem for Noetherian modules and multiple recurrence theorems III. in preparation.
- [8] J. DIEUDONNÉ. Sur le théorème de Lebesgue-Nikodym (III). *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) Ser. 2*, **23** (1948), 25–53.
- [9] M. EINSIEDLER AND T. WARD. *Ergodic Theory with a view towards Number Theory*. GTM 259, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [10] R. ELLIS. The Furstenberg structure theorem. *Pacific J. Math.*, **76** (1978), 345–349.
- [11] H. FURSTENBERG. The structure of distal flows. *Amer. J. Math.*, **85** (1963), 477–515.

- [12] H. FURSTENBERG. Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions. *J. Anal. Math.*, **31** (1977), 204–256.
- [13] H. FURSTENBERG. *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1981.
- [14] H. FURSTENBERG AND Y. KATZNELSON. An ergodic Szemerédi theorem for commuting transformations. *J. Anal. Math.*, **34** (1978), 275–291.
- [15] E. GLASNER. *Ergodic Theory Via Joining*. Math. Surveys & Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 101, 2003.
- [16] W.H. GOTTSCHALK AND G.A. HEDLUND. *Topological Dynamics*. Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., Vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1955.
- [17] S. LANG. *Algebra*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1965.
- [18] W.B. MOORS AND I. NAMIOKA. Furstenberg’s structure theorem via CHART groups. *Ergod. Theory Dynam. Systems*, **33** (2013), 954–968.
- [19] A. NEVO AND R.J. ZIMMER. A generalization of the intermediate factors theorem. *J. Anal. Math.*, **86** (2002), 93–104.
- [20] A. NEVO AND R.J. ZIMMER. A structure theorem for actions of semisimple Lie groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **156** (2002), 565–594.
- [21] A.T. PATERSON. *Amenability*. Math. Surveys & Monographs 29, Amer. Math. Soc., 1988.
- [22] V.S. VARADARAJAN. Groups of transformations of Borel spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **109** (1963), 191–220.
- [23] W.A. VEECH. Point-distal flows. *Amer. J. Math.*, **92** (1970), 205–242.
- [24] R.J. ZIMMER. Ergodic actions with generalized discrete spectrum. *Illinois J. Math.*, **20** (1976), 555–588.
- [25] R.J. ZIMMER. Ergodic Theory, semi-simple groups, and foliations by manifolds of negative curvature. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, **55** (1982), 37–62.
- [26] R.J. ZIMMER. *Ergodic Theory and Semisimple Groups*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1984.